The Triboro
Transit for the Boroughs

What it means for New Yorkers

- Above-ground rail line stretching 24 miles from Co-op City in the Bronx to Bay Ridge in Brooklyn.
- Use of existing rail right-of-way reduces construction cost.
- North-south transit corridor, allowing New Yorkers to move seamlessly between Brooklyn, Queens and the Bronx.
- Two dozen new stations.
- Transfers to 17 subway lines and 4 commuter rail lines.
- Initial ridership of 100,000 daily commuters.
The New York City boroughs of Brooklyn, Queens, Staten Island and the Bronx are home to 6.6 million people. Many of them are poorly served by the city’s century-old, Manhattan-centric transit system. Transit improvements are typically focused on moving people in and out of Manhattan. Yet today, more New Yorkers commute within the outer boroughs than into Manhattan, and the city is gaining more jobs in Brooklyn, Queens, the Bronx and Staten Island than it is in the urban core.

The majority of people living in the four boroughs outside Manhattan don’t use public transit to travel to work, even though they live in the city with the largest subway and bus network in the U.S. Why? Because New York City’s subways were designed to bring people into Manhattan, not to move them between other boroughs, and the buses that serve the boroughs are slow and unreliable.

New York City’s subways were built radially from the core to connect people to Manhattan, limiting the system’s value for residents traveling to other places. Indeed, the vast subway network, with 470 stations, isn’t within a reasonable walking distance for 43% of the city’s outer borough residents. Yet more than 50% of New York’s job growth in the last 15 years has occurred outside Manhattan.2 Many residents’ work or shopping trips are difficult or impossible to accomplish via the subway alone, requiring circuitous, time-consuming and multiple-transfer journeys by combinations of bus and subway.

Residents of Queens, Brooklyn and the Bronx often are compelled to travel through Manhattan to get to adjacent boroughs, since even this circuitous route is faster than the alternative – infrequent and unreliable buses. In these boroughs, workers spend an average of 53 minutes traveling to Manhattan, but travel for work to adjacent boroughs is longer. A trip from the Bronx to Queens takes 68 minutes each way for the average worker. A trip from Brooklyn to Queens takes 63 minutes for a typical public transit commuter.2 Though an additional 10 to 15 minutes of travel might not sound significant, the cumulative effect is huge: 65 hours of travel time per worker each year, or almost three additional days spent commuting.4 And those numbers don’t take into account all the trips that aren’t taken – jobs not pursued, schools avoided – because the journey is too long or complicated.

1 Walking distance is assumed to be ¼ mile.

3 Census Transportation Planning Package 2006–2010, Mean Travel Time to Work by Public Transportation.
4 A five day work week was the basis for this analysis; holidays/vacation days aren’t included.
What if there were a fast, convenient and direct transit connection between the Bronx, Queens and Brooklyn?

It’s possible. In *A Region at Risk: The Third Regional Plan for the New York-New Jersey-Connecticut Metropolitan Area*, RPA proposed a circumferential transit line called the Triboro to connect the three boroughs. The above-ground line would cross over many subway and commuter rail lines and take advantage of underused and abandoned rights-of-way. Over the years, RPA has continued to develop the proposal. This paper outlines RPA’s most recent analysis on the Triboro Line and highlights the enormous opportunity it represents for New York and the metropolitan region.

What Is the Triboro?

Running 24 miles from Co-op City in the Bronx to Bay Ridge in Brooklyn, the Triboro would act as the wheel connecting the various spokes of the subway system branching from Manhattan. It would open an urgently needed north-south transit corridor, allowing New Yorkers for the first time to move seamlessly between communities in these three boroughs. The Triboro would intersect with 17 subway lines and four commuter rail lines along its route. The increased connectivity between boroughs and to existing Manhattan-bound lines would improve access to New York’s jobs, schools and resources for the hundreds of thousands of people currently living in dozens of neighborhoods now poorly served by transit. In addition, the Triboro could increase reverse access to the suburbs for residents of the boroughs by creating opportunities for transfers to outbound Metro-North and Long Island Rail Road commuter services.

The new line would use an existing rail right-of-way, which means it could be built faster and more cheaply than other recent, large-scale transit projects in the New York region. The Triboro would ease subway crowding and create a more efficient, resilient and equitable transit system.

Why Rail?

Providing a reliable connection between the Bronx, Queens and Brooklyn by rail will provide more direct trips within and between these boroughs than either the subway or bus networks can do today. Buses make up 43% of transit ridership in these three boroughs, but buses operate at an average speed of 8 mph as they fight the city’s heavy traffic. And buses are notoriously unreliable. Most routes in Brooklyn, Queens and the Bronx typically run 2 - 5 minutes late. The least reliable routes arrive on time only 50% of the day. Current upgrades of nine local bus routes to Select Bus Services (SBS) are speeding up these routes by 13% to 23%, but there are more than 200 routes without SBS treatments, and the process of upgrading selected routes has
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5 Based on RPA’s *Overlooked Boroughs* and the University of Pennsylvania Design Studio’s *Crossboro U* study produced for RPA.


7 Outer borough route query based on 2015 Analysis of MTA’s One Bus Away real time data feed by Nathan Johnson & Kuan Butts, http://busdataapi1.cloudapp.net/


---

Figure 2: Slow Local Bus Routes in New York City
Buses traveling one mph or less than the borough average.
Source: Regional Plan Association
Cross Harbor Freight Study

The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey are evaluating improvements to the existing railcar float bridge operations between Greenville Yard in New Jersey and 65th Street Yard in Brooklyn. In January 2016, the study introduced the Tier I Final Environmental Impact Statement, detailing the alternatives to serve the anticipated increase in freight demand over the current 4,000 rail car loads of freight a year to 80,000 a year by 2035.

The statement concludes by selecting two preferred alternatives to evaluate in detail during a Tier II screening; 1) an enhanced railcar float bridge between Greenville Yard and the 65th Street Yard, and 2) a double stack, double track rail tunnel under the Hudson Bay Harbor between Greenville and the 65th Street Yard. Under the enhanced float bridge proposal between one to six freight trains per day are anticipated to run between 65th Street in Brooklyn to Fresh Pond Yard and up to 2 trains per day north of Fresh Pond. A rail tunnel under the harbor would bring more freight rail car travel along the corridor, between 16 to 21 freight trains per day south of Fresh Pond Yard and 8 to 12 trains per day north of Fresh Pond Yard.

Figure 4: Rail Tunnel Alternative Trains per Day

Mixing Passenger & Freight Rail

The Triboro right-of-way varies from two to four tracks along its 24-mile route. The rail right-of-way is owned by Amtrak in the Bronx into Queens, and by CSX, the freight provider from northern Queens to Fresh Pond Junction and LIRR to the south of Fresh Pond to 65th Street Yard in Brooklyn. These freight lines operate only one to two trains per day on average. In the future, as many as 21 trains, each roughly a mile in length, could run daily along segments of the Triboro, according to a study of the corridor conducted by the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey.

Passenger rail service can be operated alongside freight. This approach isn’t novel. Other metro areas in the U.S. and around the world successfully mix freight and passenger operations.
One of the best examples can be found in Chicago, the center of rail freight in the U.S., where most of the nation’s main line freight corridors also mix with frequent commuter rail service. Metra, the Chicago commuter rail operator, is the fourth-largest railroad in the U.S., with ridership just slightly lower than that of New Jersey Transit. One of the busiest main lines in Chicago is dispatched by the freight operator Union Pacific, where 80 freight trains and more than 120 passenger trains run on the same track each day.1

Additional consideration for freight equipage must be made for mixed operations. A good state of repair for freight rail cars and yards would be required to allow freight and passenger trains to move efficiently throughout the corridor. The Cross Harbor Freight FEIS highlighted that serving modern rail equipment requires that the rail right-of-way, signals and rail yards be brought into a good state of repair. Rail yards would need to be expanded to accommodate increased freight demand and to clear the rail right-of-way, allowing passenger and freight trains to travel unobstructed throughout the day. Furthermore, shorter freight trains, less than a mile in length, will likely be needed to optimize mixed operations.

The Cross Harbor Freight FEIS proposed alternative of 21 freight trains per day would amount to less than one train per hour throughout the day. There could be certain times of day when the line would need to accommodate both freight and passenger travel. But in many metro markets, freight rail demand peaks during the midday (9 AM to 3 PM) and overnight (midnight to 5 AM), with trucking freight peaking on the region’s major bridges between 4 AM to 8 AM and 10 AM to 4 PM.2 Passenger demand is typically highest during the morning before 9 AM and in the afternoon after 3 PM.

Successful Adaptations: London’s Overground

As in New York, London is seeing a growing number of “borough-to-borough” trips that aren’t well served by the city’s radial underground system. London also is also experiencing regeneration of its outer boroughs.

To serve these expanding travel markets, Transport for London has transformed several abandoned or underutilized Tube and surface commuter and freight lines into a new circumferential system, the London Overground. Opened in 2010, and completed in phases over the following two years at a cost of $2.4 billion, the Overground now carries more than half a million daily passengers. Ridership is expected to exceed one million daily passengers by 2020. The Overground is helping transform many formerly isolated outer borough communities, leading to new housing and employment opportunities in these places.

Freight and passenger services mix along sections of the Overground. The key east to west route, the North London Line, runs mixed operations of 6 - 12 trains per peak hour, while serving 1.24 million passenger miles and 427 million tonnes of cargo annually.3

The Overground was designed by TfL’s own staff, who delivered the project in phases without budget overruns. It is operated to very high performance standards on a concession basis by LOROL, a joint venture between Hong Kong’s MTR transit company and Deutsche Bahn.

Source: Transport for London, Mayor of London
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Moving the Region Forward

The Triboro will do more than improve regional mobility. It also will address inequities in the transit system, give more people access to jobs, create opportunities for affordable housing, improve public health and make the transportation network more resilient to storms, flooding and other disruptions.

The Triboro addresses the city’s transit deserts in the outer boroughs, where service is limited for communities of all incomes. Many borough residents – 59% in the Bronx, 57% in Brooklyn and 36% in Queens – don’t own cars. These residents often require two buses and a subway ride to reach their destination, requiring an additional fare. To avoid the multiple bus transfers, they might use informal transit such as car services or shared vans. That adds to costs for riders who already struggle to pay the upfront cost of a monthly MetroCard. Simplifying rail connections and reducing bus-to-subway transfers for these communities not only saves time but eases the financial burden of traveling by transit.

The Triboro would link employment hubs for manufacturing and industry in Hunts Point, northern Astoria, Maspeth, and Bay Ridge, connecting residents in the Bronx, Queens and Brooklyn to greater job opportunities and helping to sustain the city’s manufacturing sector. The Triboro also would streamline transit connections to suburban economic centers in the Lower Hudson Valley and Connecticut and east to Long Island, with transfers from the Triboro to Metro-North and Long Island Rail Road. These reverse-commuting possibilities would increase job access for outer borough residents with a faster and more reliable commute without the burden of car ownership.

Areas surrounding the Triboro stations have the potential to support transit-oriented affordable housing developments. Connecting affordable housing to reliable transit provides access to jobs deemed too far to reach by transit today. Reliable transit access to work reduces the need for car ownership and the costs associated with constructing parking, allowing for more space for housing and reducing overall development costs.

The Triboro also will connect large centers of retail and recreation in the region. Jackson Heights and Middle Village are shopping hubs for communities in Queens and Brooklyn, as well as transfer points to existing subway and commuter rail lines. Additionally, access to the parks and beaches will be quicker by rapid transit for communities in both Brooklyn and Queens. Public health will be enhanced by encouraging less driving and more walking, reducing auto-related air pollution, and increasing access to parkland and beaches that offer affordable recreation. Transfers from the Triboro to existing subway routes connecting to Flushing Meadows-Corona Park, Jamaica Bay, the Rockaways, Brighton and Hamilton beaches and Coney Island would simultaneously provide quicker access to recreation for city residents and faster commutes for residents of beach communities.

Finally, the climate resiliency of public transit travel in the region would be improved with the introduction of the Triboro. During Superstorm Sandy, much of the subway network in lower Manhattan was inundated with water. Below Midtown, the entire system suffered from electrical failures. By creating new links between boroughs, the Triboro would allow riders to travel around disabled parts of the system during future weather events.

As part of the forthcoming Fourth Regional Plan, RPA will assess the full potential of the Triboro Line to solve mobility issues throughout the boroughs and to improve the prosperity, opportunity, health and resilience in the New York region.
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